UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,789	04/10/2001	Adolf Proidl	PHAT 000025	1889
	7590 10/16/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	10 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

D

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/829,789	PROIDL, ADOLF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	ugust 2007	·				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		٠.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	× .				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
		-				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20071009				

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment received on August 16, 2007. Claim 1 was amended. Claim 6 was canceled. Claims 1-5 are pending examination.

Drawings

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tso et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,421,733 (referred to hereafter as Tso).

As to claim 1, Tso teaches a data stream adaptation server, connected to a computer network (NET), for the adaptation of data stream information, including

Art Unit: 2157

receiving means for receiving retrieval information from a retrieval device connected to the computer network, said retrieval information including data stream identification information and mode information, said mode information specifying a processing capability of the retrieval device (see col. 2 lines 50-67, col. 10 lines 1-55 and fig. 1, information whether the client is enabled or not enabled is retrieved to indicate the processing capability of the client), and

including source information memory means for the storage of address information (ADI) of data stream information sources which can supply data stream information corresponding to possible retrieval information, and including data stream retrieval means for retrieving data stream information corresponding to said data stream identification information included in the retrieval information from one of the data stream information sources (see col. 14 lines 21-36), and

including supply means for the supply of the data stream information to the retrieval device via the computer network (NET), characterized in that data stream conversion means are included, which means are adapted to convert the data stream information having a first compression or content format retrieved from the data stream information source into data stream information having a second compression or content format adapted to the processing capability of the retrieval device as indicated by said mode information (see col. 10 lines 16-col. 11 lines 27, the proxy server retrieves content from the content server and transcodes the format into a format compatible with the client).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 2, Tso teaches the server of claim 1 wherein the retrieval information in accordance with HTTP and the data stream conversion are adapted to derive the mode information from the HTTP protocol (see col. 10 lines 40-64)

As to claim 3, Tso teaches a data stream adaptation server as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the processing speed of the data stream conversion means enables the retrieved data stream information to be adapted in real time (see col. 4 lines 40-64).

As to claim 5, Tso teaches a data stream adaptation server as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that buffer memory means for the storage of the adapted data stream information are included (see col. 7 lines 1-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tso in view of Wynblatt et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,546,421 (referred to hereafter as Wynblatt).

Tso teaches a data stream adaptation server (1), connected to a computer network (NET), for the adaptation of data stream information, including receiving means for receiving retrieval information from a retrieval device connected to the computer network (see the rejection of claim 1).

Art Unit: 2157

Tso does not explicitly teach "the data stream conversion means (15) are adapted to encode the data stream information adapted to the retrieval device in accordance with the (Real Time Stream Protocol)".

However Wynblatt teaches a system and method of retrieving multimedia data in multiple formats in accordance with real time stream protocol (see col. 3). It would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tso by using real time stream protocol as taught by Wynblatt because doing so would allow the user to start playing from a certain position.

- **4.** Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2157

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A. El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hussein Elchanti

Oct. 9, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

Page 6